Polarographic Study of the Anomalous Behaviour of Bromocadmium(II) Complexes in Molten Calcium Nitrate Tetrahydrate

By DAVID G. LOVERING* and D. J. ALNER

(Chemistry Department, The City University, London, E.C.1)

Summary New evidence from polarographic data suggests that desolvation of the neutral species, formally written Cd^{II}Br₂, may occur in aqueous melts.

BRAUNSTEIN et al.¹ have reported association constants for bromo-cadmium(II) complexes in the aqueous melt, $Ca(NO_3)_2, 4H_2O$. They used a graphical technique² to analyse their polarographic and potentiometric titration data and recorded no unusual behaviour in this system. Stability constants were given as:

 $K_1 = 460 \pm 25$; $K_2 = 180 \pm 25$ (molal units).

We describe new polarographic data obtained for the same system. Some anomalies in the system are also reported. The computer technique of Inman^{3,4} has been used to gain adequate precision and meaningful error parameters for the derived stability constants. The d.c. manual polarographic method was used to determine half-wave potential shifts from plots according to the Heyrovsky–Ilkovic equation.^{5,6} A dropping mercury electrode, mercury pool anode, and a silver–silver(1) reference

electrode with an asbestos wick junction,^{1,7} have been used. Cd^{II} ions, added as Cd^{II}(NO₃)₂, 4H₂O, were titrated with solid KBr, in a filtered Ca(NO₃)₂,4H₂O melt at 50°. It was necessary to use metal-ion concentrations $\leq 10^{-4}$ m to avoid polarographic maxima,⁷ (cf. ref. 8).

The derived half-wave potential shifts showed smooth, curvilinear dependence on ligand concentration, indicating that bromocadmium complexes should be formed in a stepwise fashion, without the predominance of any single entity. However, analysis of the data, using the standard program,^{3,4} always resulted in a 4-degree best fit with values of β_2 spanning zero, as exemplified in the Table. Similar results from other sets of data had β_2 -values of both positive and negative signs.

In view of these factors, and the problem of analysing the data in terms of a "CdBr₂" species, the data were recomputed omitting the second-degree terms in the relevant polynomials. In all cases, considerably improved data fits were obtained when only the first-, third-, and fourth-degree terms were included. No complications due to the presence of dinuclear complexes were apparent. The Table shows a comparison of the data fits obtained for a typical set of results when β_2 was initially included and then excluded in the computation.

the di-substituted species. The apparent absence of "CdBr₂" in solution appears to conflict with their results.

 S_{\min}

σ (e.e.)

 $\sigma \left(\Delta E_{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$

Statistical error parameters

With B₂

0.1311.2

0.30

TABLE

Stability constants (molal units)		
	With β_2	Without β_2
βo	1.05 ± 0.09	1.07 ± 0.04
$\beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4$	586 ± 111	555 ± 30
β_2	$(-1.09 \pm 3.62) \times 10^{4}$	
β_{3}	$(2.80 \pm 0.36) \times 10^7$	$(2.69 \pm 0.09) \times 10^7$
β	$(7.34 \pm 0.94) \times 10^{8}$	$(7.59 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{8}$

Most probable values for the successive stability constants, obtained from a large number of sets of data, were found to be: $\beta_1 = 450 \pm 150$; $\beta_3 = (2 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 9) \times 10^7$; $\beta_4 = (5 \cdot 8 \pm 1 \cdot 6) \times 10^8$ (in molal units). The value of β_1 agrees well with that of Braunstein,¹ but makes a more realistic assessment of the precision.^{3,4} The cadmium(II)bromide bond-energy term,⁹ ϵ_c was calculated to be -6.7kcal. mole⁻¹, corresponding to its Helmholtz free-energy of association in the hypothetical anhydrous melt, assuming⁹ that the cadmium(11)-aquo bond-energy, $\epsilon_{\rm H}$ is taken as -1.41 kcal. mole-1.

Braunstein et al. did not report complexes higher than

The very low value of the stability constant for the species formally written as Cd^{II}Br₂ (conveniently assumed as zero in this case), indicates that a structural change occurs at the second bromide-ion substitution. The resulting species may be wholly or partially desolvated at this stage; evidence of the formation of a colloidal precipitate was obtained at higher CdII concentrations $\geqslant 5\,\times\,10^{-4}\,\text{m}$ in the presence of Br- ions. A future publication will describe additional results and consider a substitution mechanism.

Mrs. I. Wilmot (née Regan) assisted with program modifications; Dr. D. Inman provided the original program.

(Received, March 24th, 1970; Com. 420.)

- ² J. Braunstein, M. Blander, and R. M. Lindgren, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 1529. ³ D. Inman, I. Regan, and B. Girling, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 348.
- ⁴ D. Inman, D. G. Lovering, and R. Narayan, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1968, 64, 2476.
- ⁵ D. R. Crow and J. V. Westwood, *Quart. Rev.*, 1965, **19**, 57. ⁶ D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of London, 1966, D. G. Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, University of Lovering, M.Phil. Thesis, M.Ph
- ⁷ D. G. Lovering, Ph.D. Thesis, City University, London, 1969.
 ⁸ D. Inman, D. G. Lovering, and R. Narayan, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1968, 64, 2487.
- ⁹ J. Braunstein, J. Phys. Chem., 1967, 71, 3402.

Without β_2

0.14

9.6

0.26

¹ J. Braunstein, A. R. Alvarez-Funes, and H. Braunstein, J. Phys. Chem., 1966, 70, 2734.